UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Buddy Gill	Case Number: 06-30024-03
Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U detention of the defendant pending trial in this cas	I.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the e.
Part I—Findings of Fact	
or local offense that would have been a f a crime of violence as defined in 18 an offense for which the maximum s	e described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state ederal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). entence is life imprisonment or death. m of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s (2) The offense described in finding (1) was (3) A period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1).	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the nmunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	sonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	nption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure red and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant	will not appear. will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention	
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that	
Defendant admitted his involvement in the No outstanding warrants for contempt of court. Hovember, 2005 robbery of the same pharmaccording to his girlfriend. He bears a Latin Cactive Latin Counts gang members. He is a	ovember, 2005 and the January, 2006 robbery of the same pharmacy of drugs. He has 2 He is 19 years old and faces 70-80 months of the current charges and more time for the acy. Defendant gave conflicting statements to Pretrial Services regarding his residency, counts tattoo, but claims it was placed six years ago and that his family members are danger (made threats to kill and explode a bomb) and admits to two robberies of the ght risk, third-party custody would be inappropriate.
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or reasonable opportunity for private consultation w	e Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a ith defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the as facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
January 13, 2006	s/ Mona K. Majzoub
Date	Signature of Judge
MONA K. MAJZOUB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	Name and Title of Judge

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).